

Clinical Pharmacokinetics

6th Edition

**JOHN E. MURPHY, PharmD,
FASHP, FCCP**

*Professor of Pharmacy Practice and Science and
Associate Dean, College of Pharmacy
Professor of Clinical, Family and Community Medicine
College of Medicine
The University of Arizona
Tucson, Arizona*

*Honorary Professor
The University of Otago School of Pharmacy
Dunedin, New Zealand*

ashp[®]
publications

Any correspondence regarding this publication should be sent to the publisher, American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, 4500 East-West Highway, Suite 900, Bethesda, MD 20814, attention: Special Publishing.

The information presented herein reflects the opinions of the contributors and advisors. It should not be interpreted as an official policy of ASHP or as an endorsement of any product.

Because of ongoing research and improvements in technology, the information and its applications contained in this text are constantly evolving and are subject to the professional judgment and interpretation of the practitioner due to the uniqueness of a clinical situation. The editors and ASHP have made reasonable efforts to ensure the accuracy and appropriateness of the information presented in this document. However, any user of this information is advised that the editors and ASHP are not responsible for the continued currency of the information, for any errors or omissions, and/or for any consequences arising from the use of the information in the document in any and all practice settings. Any reader of this document is cautioned that ASHP makes no representation, guarantee, or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy and appropriateness of the information contained in this document and specifically disclaims any liability to any party for the accuracy and/or completeness of the material or for any damages arising out of the use or non-use of any of the information contained in this document.

Editorial Project Manager, Books and eLearning Courses: Ruth Bloom

Editorial Project Manager, Publications Production Center: Bill Fogle

Cover and Page Design: David Wade

Library of Congress Cataloging - in - Publication Data

Names: Murphy, John E., 1945- editor. | American Society of Health-System Pharmacists.

Title: Clinical pharmacokinetics / [edited by] John E. Murphy.

Other titles: Clinical pharmacokinetics (Murphy)

Description: Sixth edition. | Bethesda, MD : American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, [2016] | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2016018006 | ISBN 9781585285365 (alk. paper)

Subjects: | MESH: Pharmacokinetics | Handbooks

Classification: LCC RM301.5 | NLM QV 39 | DDC 615.7--dc23 LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2016018006>

© 2017, American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, Inc. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission from the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists.

ASHP is a service mark of the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, Inc.; registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

ISBN: 978-1-58528-536-5

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

This sixth edition is again dedicated to:

Our patients, to whom we devote our professional lives; to my past and present students and residents who continue to inspire me;

My mother and father, for their nurturing; to my family (and my four grandchildren) for making life interesting and fun;

Mercer University and the University of Arizona for providing me the best jobs I could have ever hoped for; and

The pharmacy profession for giving me opportunities I never dreamed existed for a guy like me way back when it all started.

Preface xi

Contributors xiii

Introduction: General Pharmacokinetic Principles xvii

SECTION 1: BASIC CONCEPTS AND SPECIAL POPULATIONS 1

Chapter 1. Rational Use of Drug Concentration Measurements 3
James P. McCormack

Evaluating the Need for a Drug Concentration Measurement 3

Approaches to Dosing with Limited Need for Drug Concentration Measurements 6

Conclusion 7

Chapter 2. Estimating Creatinine Clearance 9
Robert E. Pachorek

Factors Affecting Estimates of Glomerular Filtration Rate 9

Creatinine Assay Standardization 10

Formulas to Estimate Creatinine Clearance in Adults 11

Body Weight 14

Low Serum Creatinine in Elderly or Underweight Patients 15

Amputations 15

Spinal Cord Injury 16

Chronic Renal Insufficiency 16

Dialysis 16

Liver Disease 16

Pediatrics 16

Patients with Unstable Renal Function 18

Estimating Time to Steady State Serum Creatinine Concentration 18

Creatinine Clearance Estimation in Unstable Renal Function 18

Chapter 3. Renal Drug Dosing Concepts 23
Dean A. Van Loo and Thomas C. Dowling

Clinical Assessment of Kidney Function 23

Mechanisms of Drug Clearance 24

Nonrenal Mechanisms 26

Volume of Distribution 27

Drug Dosing Strategies for CKD Patients 27

Hemodialysis and Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy 31

Conclusion 37

Chapter 4. Medication Dosing in Overweight and Obese Patients 41
Brian L. Erstad

Obtaining an Accurate Weight 41

Body Composition Changes Associated with Obesity 41

Size Descriptors 43

Pharmacokinetic Considerations 45

Oral Absorption 45

Concept of Dose Proportionality 48

Recommendations for Dosing Medications in Obese Patients 49

Genetic and Genomic Considerations 50

CONTENTS

Chapter 5. The Role of the Pharmacogenomics in Pharmacokinetics	55
<i>Cheryl D. Cropp</i>	
Introduction	55
Basic Definitions.....	55
History of Pharmacogenetics and Pharmacogenomics	56
Cytochrome P450 Enzymes and Solute Carrier Transmembrane Proteins	57
Impact of the Blood-Brain Barrier	57
Special Populations	59
Pharmacogenomics Examples in Therapeutics.....	60
Summary.....	61
Chapter 6. Drug Dosing in Pediatric Patients.....	65
<i>Vinita B. Pai and Milap C. Nahata</i>	
General Pharmacokinetic Information	66
Distribution.....	69
Elimination.....	69
Metabolism	71
Factors Influencing Drug Disposition.....	71
Pharmacogenomics in Children.....	76
Chapter 7. Therapeutic Drug Monitoring in the Geriatric Patient	83
<i>Susan W. Miller</i>	
Physiologic Changes	85
Drug Elimination	89
Age-Related Pharmacodynamic Changes Influencing Drug Response	90
Summary of Changes.....	93
SECTION 2: SPECIFIC DRUGS AND DRUG CLASSES	121
Chapter 8. Aminoglycosides	123
<i>John E. Murphy and Kathryn R. Matthias</i>	
Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	123
Dosage Form Availability	124
General Pharmacokinetic Information	126
Dosing Strategies.....	129
Therapeutic Ranges	135
Therapeutic Monitoring	136
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring	142
Drug-Drug Interactions	144
Drug-Disease State or Condition Interactions.....	144
Pharmacogenomic Implications of Aminoglycoside Use	146
Chapter 9. Antidepressants	155
<i>Patrick R. Finley</i>	
Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	156
Dosage Form Availability	156
General Pharmacokinetic Information	156
Dosing Strategies.....	160
Therapeutic Range	162
Therapeutic Monitoring	165
Further Considerations for Sampling	166
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring	166
Drug-Disease State or Condition Interactions.....	166

Chapter 10. Antiepileptics	173
<i>Jacquelyn L. Bainbridge, Pei Shieen Wong, and Felecia M. Hart</i>	
Felbamate.....	173
Gabapentin	175
Lamotrigine.....	176
Tiagabine.....	179
Topiramate.....	179
Levetiracetam	181
Oxcarbazepine	182
Zonisamide	183
Pregabalin.....	185
Lacosamide.....	186
Rufinamide	188
Vigabatrin	189
Ezogabine.....	190
Clobazam.....	191
Perampanel	193
Eslicarbazepine	194
Use of the Newer Antiepileptic Drugs	195
Generic Substitution of AEDs	196
Chapter 11. Antirejection Agents	205
<i>Tony K. L. Kiang and Mary H. H. Ensom</i>	
Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	206
Dosage Form Availability	206
General Pharmacokinetic Information	209
Therapeutic Range	214
Therapeutic Monitoring	215
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring.....	215
Drug-Drug Interactions	217
Drug-Gene Interactions	218
Molecular Weights for Unit Conversions	220
Chapter 12. Carbamazepine.....	223
<i>Jacquelyn L. Bainbridge, Pei Shieen Wong, and Felecia M. Hart</i>	
Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	223
General Pharmacokinetic Information	225
Dosing Strategies.....	228
Therapeutic Range	229
Therapeutic Monitoring	229
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring.....	230
Drug-Drug Interactions	231
Drug-Disease State or Condition Interactions.....	232
Chapter 13. Digoxin.....	239
<i>Robert DiDomenico and Robert L. Page II</i>	
Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	239
Dosing Strategies.....	242
Therapeutic Monitoring	244
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring.....	245
Drug-Drug Interactions	247
Drug-Disease/Condition Interactions	248

CONTENTS

Chapter 14. Ethosuximide	251
<i>Jacquelyn L. Bainbridge, Pei Shieen Wong, and Felecia M. Hart</i>	
Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	251
General Pharmacokinetic Information	251
Dosing Strategies.....	253
Therapeutic Range	253
Therapeutic Monitoring	254
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring.....	254
Drug–Drug Interactions	255
Drug–Disease State or Condition Interactions.....	255
Chapter 15. Unfractionated Heparin, Low Molecular Weight Heparin, and Fondaparinux	257
<i>A. Joshua Roberts and William E. Dager</i>	
Unfractionated Heparin	257
UFH: Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	257
UFH: Dosage Form Availability	258
UFH: General Pharmacokinetic Information	258
UFH: Dosing Strategies.....	260
UFH: Therapeutic Range	264
UFH: Therapeutic Monitoring	266
UFH: Pharmacodynamic Monitoring—Concentration-Related Efficacy.....	268
UFH: Pharmacodynamic Monitoring—Concentration-Related Toxicity.....	268
Reversing Heparin’s Effect.....	269
UFH: Drug–Drug Interactions	270
UFH: Drug–Disease State or Condition Reactions.....	270
Low Molecular Weight Heparins	270
LMWH: Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	272
LMWH: Dosage Form Availability	272
LMWH: General Pharmacokinetic Information.....	273
LMWH: Dosing Strategies	273
LMWH: Therapeutic Range	274
LMWH: Therapeutic Monitoring	274
LMWH: Assay Issues	274
LMWH: Pharmacodynamic Monitoring—Concentration-Related Efficacy.....	274
LMWH: Pharmacodynamic Monitoring—Concentration-Related Toxicity.....	275
LMWH: Reversing the Effect of LMWHS.....	275
LMWH: Drug–Drug Interactions	276
LMWH: Drug–Disease State or Condition Interaction.....	276
Fondaparinux	277
Fondaparinux: Dosage Range	277
Chapter 16. Lidocaine	285
<i>Toby C. Trujillo</i>	
Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	285
Dosage Form Availability	285
General Pharmacokinetic Information	287
Clearance.....	289
Half-Life and Time to Steady State.....	289
Dosing Strategies.....	289
Therapeutic Range	292
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring: Concentration-Related Efficacy and Toxicity	293
Drug–Drug Interactions	293
Drug–Disease State or Condition Interactions.....	294

Chapter 17. Lithium	301
<i>Stanley W. Carson and Lisa W. Goldstone</i>	
Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	301
Dosage Form Availability.....	303
General Pharmacokinetic Information.....	303
Therapeutic Range.....	308
Therapeutic Monitoring.....	308
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring.....	311
Drug-Drug Interactions.....	312
Drug-Disease State Interactions and Special Populations.....	312
Chapter 18. Phenobarbital	323
<i>Kimberly B. Tallian and Douglas M. Anderson</i>	
Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	323
General Pharmacokinetic Information.....	324
Half-Life and Time to Steady State.....	326
Therapeutic Range.....	326
Drug Monitoring Assay Considerations.....	326
Suggested Sampling Times and Effect on Therapeutic Range.....	326
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring—Concentration-Related Efficacy.....	327
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring—Concentration-Related Toxicity.....	328
Drug-Drug Interactions.....	328
Drug-Disease State or Condition Interactions.....	330
Chapter 19. Phenytoin and Fosphenytoin	333
<i>Michael E. Winter</i>	
Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	333
Dosage Form Availability.....	334
General Pharmacokinetic Information.....	334
Therapeutic Range.....	340
Therapeutic Monitoring.....	340
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring.....	341
Drug-Drug Interactions.....	342
Drug-Disease State or Condition Interactions.....	343
Chapter 20. Theophylline	351
<i>Hanna Phan and John E. Murphy</i>	
Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	351
Dosage Form Availability.....	352
General Pharmacokinetic Information.....	352
Dosing Strategies.....	356
Therapeutic Range.....	357
Therapeutic Monitoring.....	357
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring.....	358
Drug-Drug Interactions.....	360
Drug-Disease State or Condition Interactions.....	361
Chapter 21. Valproate	365
<i>Barry E. Gidal</i>	
Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	365
General Pharmacokinetic Information.....	366
Dosing Strategies.....	369
Dosage Adjustment.....	369

CONTENTS

Therapeutic Range	370
Effect of Age and Pregnancy on Therapeutic Range.....	370
Therapeutic Monitoring	371
Initial and Follow-Up Monitoring.....	371
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring—Concentration-Related Efficacy.....	371
Drug–Drug Interactions	372
Drug–Disease State or Condition Interactions.....	373
Chapter 22. Vancomycin	377
<i>Jeremiah J. Duby, Monica A. Donnelley, Chelsea L. Tasaka, and Brett H. Heintz</i>	
Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	377
Dosage Form Availability	378
General Pharmacokinetic Information	379
Dosing Strategies.....	381
Therapeutic Range	385
Therapeutic Drug Monitoring.....	387
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring.....	387
Drug–Drug Interactions	391
Drug–Disease State Interactions	392
Assay Issues.....	395
Chapter 23. Warfarin	403
<i>Ann K. Wittkowsky</i>	
Usual Dosage Range in Absence of Clearance-Altering Factors.....	404
Dosage Form Availability	404
General Pharmacokinetic Information	405
Therapeutic Range	410
Therapeutic Monitoring	411
Pharmacodynamic Monitoring.....	412
Drug–Drug Interactions	414
Drug–Disease State or Condition Interactions.....	415
Appendix A: Therapeutic Range —Traditional and SI	425
Appendix B: Nondrug Reference Ranges for Laboratory Tests	427
Index	429

Pharmacokinetic studies have continued to be published since the first edition of the *Clinical Pharmacokinetics*. The second, third, fourth, fifth and now sixth edition authors have taken advantage of advances in understanding to update the chapters. In many cases more judicious monitoring of drug concentrations is suggested compared to the early editions. For some drugs, the dosing approaches are radically different now. For others, new prediction approaches are available that have been tested in larger numbers of patients or with more sophisticated data analysis. Because the impact of drug interactions and the determination of the appropriate dosing weight on pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics on obese patients can be important to dosing decisions, the authors include this information when available. Pharmacogenomic issues are increasingly essential in decisions about drug dosing or who should receive certain drugs; therefore, most of the relevant chapters are updated regarding the impact of pharmacogenetic studies on dosing. In addition, a specific chapter has been added to help interpret issues related to applying pharmacogenomics to drug dosing. All of these updates and additions should be helpful to users of pharmacogenomics to inform drug dosing.

This book was originally designed to help predict drug doses to achieve target drug concentrations from doses administered to patients. However, important chapters on rational use of drug concentration measurements; dosing in overweight and obese patients; pharmacogenomics; dosing considerations for a wider variety of drugs used in neonatal, pediatric and geriatric patients; drug dosing in renal disease (and dialysis); and creatinine clearance estimation (the precursor to dose and concentration estimates for a number of drugs) have been added over the years and round out the sixth edition. Tables on international and traditional units for drugs and laboratory tests are included as well as specific content on the use of both types of units, which should facilitate worldwide use of the textbook.

As with every edition, I gratefully acknowledge the chapter authors who volunteered a portion of their lives to this book's creation and to the authors' support staff for their assistance. Finally, without a doubt, many thanks are due to the best collaborators in the world—the ASHP staff. I would particularly like to thank the staff editors—Michael Soares (1st edition), Con Ann Ling (2nd edition), Dana Battaglia (3rd to 5th editions), and Ruth Bloom (6th edition) for their outstanding dedication to making these editions happen. They all did much work and receive little of the credit, but I know their value and it is tremendous. Thanks.

John E. Murphy

2017

Douglas M. Anderson, PharmD, BCPS
Executive Director, Medical Affairs
Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Tarrytown, NY

Jacquelyn L. Bainbridge, PharmD, FCCP, MSCS
Professor
Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Department of Neurology
University of Colorado
Anschutz Medical Campus
Aurora, CO

Stanley W. Carson, PharmD, FCCP
Senior Director, Neurology Clinical Development
UCB Biosciences
Research Triangle Park, NC

Cheryl D. Cropp, PharmD, PhD
Assistant Professor
University of Arizona College of Pharmacy, Phoenix Biomedical Campus
Translational Genomics Research Institute
Phoenix, AZ

William E. Dager, PharmD, BCPS (AQ Cardiology), MCCM, FCSHP, FCCP, FCCM, FASHP
Pharmacist Specialist, University of California Davis Medical Center
Clinical Professor of Medicine, University of California Davis School of Medicine
Sacramento, CA
Clinical Professor of Pharmacy, University of California San Francisco School of Pharmacy
San Francisco, CA
Clinical Professor of Pharmacy, Touro School of Pharmacy
Vallejo, CA

Robert DiDomenico, PharmD
Clinical Professor
University of Illinois at Chicago
College of Pharmacy
Chicago, IL

Monica A. Donnelley, PharmD, BCPS-AQ ID
Senior Infectious Diseases Pharmacist
University of California Davis Medical Center
Department of Pharmacy Services
Sacramento, CA

Thomas C. Dowling, PharmD, PhD, FCCP
Assistant Dean and Professor
Director, Office of Research
Ferris State University College of Pharmacy
Grand Rapids, MI

Jeremiah J. Duby, PharmD, BCPS, BCCCP
Clinical Pharmacy Specialist, Critical Care
Critical Care Residency Program Director
Assistant Clinical Professor
University of California Davis Medical Center
Sacramento, CA
Associate Clinical Professor
Touro University, College of Pharmacy
Vallejo, CA

CONTRIBUTORS

Mary H. H. Ensom, PharmD, FASHP, FCCP, FCSHP, FCAHS

Professor, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Distinguished University Scholar

The University of British Columbia

Clinical Pharmacy Specialist, Children's and Women's Health Centre of British Columbia

Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

Brian L. Erstad, PharmD, FASHP, FCCP, MCCM

Professor and Head, Department of Pharmacy Practice and Science

University of Arizona College of Pharmacy

Tucson, AZ

Patrick R. Finley, PharmD, BCPP

Professor of Clinical Pharmacy

University of California at San Francisco School of Pharmacy

San Francisco, CA

Barry E. Gidal, PharmD, FAES

Professor

School of Pharmacy & Department of Neurology

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Madison, WI

Lisa W. Goldstone, MS, PharmD, BCPS, BCPP

Associate Professor of Clinical Pharmacy

University of Southern California School of Pharmacy

Los Angeles, CA

Felecia M. Hart, PharmD, MSCS

Clinical Neurology Research Fellow

Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences

Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Department of Neurology

University of Colorado

Anschutz Medical Campus

Aurora, CO

Brett H. Heintz, PharmD, BCPS AQ-ID, AAHIVE

Pharmacy Specialist, Medicine/Infectious Disease

Iowa City Veterans Affairs Medical Center

Clinical Associate Professor

University of Iowa College of Pharmacy

Iowa City, IA

Tony K. L. Kiang, BSc Pharm, ACPR, PhD

Assistant Professor, Translational Pharmacotherapy

Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences

University of Alberta

Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Kathryn R. Matthias, PharmD, BCPS (AQ-ID)

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmacy Practice & Science

University of Arizona

Tucson, AZ

James P. McCormack, BSc Pharm, PharmD

Professor

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences

University of British Columbia

Vancouver, Canada

Susan W. Miller, BS Pharm, PharmD

Professor
Mercer University College of Pharmacy
Atlanta, GA

John E. Murphy, PharmD, FASHP, FCCP

Professor of Pharmacy Practice and Science, Associate Dean
College of Pharmacy
Professor of Clinical, Family and Community Medicine
College of Medicine
The University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ
Honorary Professor
The University of Otago School of Pharmacy
Dunedin, New Zealand

Milap C. Nahata, MS, PharmD, FASHP, FAPhA, FCCP

Director, Institute of Therapeutic Innovations and Outcomes
Professor Emeritus of Pharmacy, Pediatrics and Internal Medicine
Colleges of Pharmacy and Medicine
The Ohio State University
Columbus, OH

Robert E. Pachorek, PharmD

Clinical Pharmacist
Sharp Grossmont Hospital
La Mesa, CA
Adjunct Assistant Professor of Pharmacy Practice
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA
Assistant Clinical Professor of Pharmacy
University of California
San Diego and San Francisco, CA

Robert L. Page II, PharmD, MSPH, FCCP, FHfSA, FAHA, FASHP, FASCP, BCPS, BCGP

Professor of Clinical Pharmacy & Physical Medicine
Clinical Specialist, Division of Cardiology
University of Colorado, Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Aurora, CO

Vinita B. Pai, MS, PharmD

Associate Professor of Clinical Pharmacy
College of Pharmacy, The Ohio State University
Advanced Patient Care Pharmacist
Pediatric Blood and Marrow Transplantation Program
Nationwide Children's Hospital
Columbus, OH

Hanna Phan, PharmD, FCCP

Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacy Practice and Science
University of Arizona College of Pharmacy
Associate Professor, Department of Pediatrics
University of Arizona College of Medicine
Tucson, AZ

A. Joshua Roberts, PharmD, BCPS (AQ Cardiology)

Senior Clinical Pharmacist—University of California Davis Medical Center
Associate Clinical Professor of Pharmacy—University of California San Francisco School of Pharmacy
San Francisco, CA
Associate Clinical Professor of Medicine—University of California Davis School of Medicine
Sacramento, CA

CONTRIBUTORS

Kimberly B. Tallian, PharmD, APH, BCPP, FASHP, FCCP, FCSHP

Advanced Practice Pharmacist—Psychiatry

Scripps Mercy Hospital, San Diego

Adjunct Clinical Professor at the University of California, San Diego Skaggs School of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences

San Diego, CA

Chelsea L. Tasaka, PharmD, BCPS, BCCCP

Critical Care Pharmacist

Seattle Children's Hospital

Department of Pharmacy

Seattle, WA

Toby C. Trujillo, PharmD, FCCP, FAHA, BCPS (AQ Cardiology)

Associate Professor

University of Colorado Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences

Clinical Specialist, Anticoagulation/Cardiology

University of Colorado Hospital

Aurora, CO

Dean A. Van Loo, PharmD

Professor of Pharmacy Practice

Ferris State University College of Pharmacy

Clinical Specialist, Bronson Methodist Hospital

Kalamazoo, MI

Michael E. Winter, PharmD

Professor Emeritus

Department of Clinical Pharmacy

University of California San Francisco School of Pharmacy

San Francisco, CA

Ann K. Wittkowsky PharmD, CACP, FASHP, FCCP

Director, Anticoagulation Services

University of Washington Medical Center

Clinical Professor

University of Washington School of Pharmacy

Seattle, WA

Pei Shieen Wong, PharmD, BCPS

Principal Clinical Pharmacist

Pharmacy Department

Singapore General Hospital

Singapore

GENERAL PHARMACOKINETIC PRINCIPLES

John E. Murphy

INITIATING THERAPY

When therapy is initiated in a patient, a standard dose and interval may be used, or the dose and interval may be individualized by use of population means of clearance or volume of distribution and half-life. These population pharmacokinetic parameters are useful for estimating drug concentrations based on an administered or planned dose and dosing schedule. To adjust therapy, these values then may be compared to actual drug concentration measurements (DCMs) and integrated with the patient's therapeutic outcome.

Using population mean values

Unfortunately, not all patients fit closely to the population means, and some of these means were developed on small samples that may not represent the general population or the patient being monitored. However, for a number of drugs, population means with standard deviations can provide useful information on reasonable ranges of the concentration values to expect.

In any case, a patient's actual pharmacokinetic values (i.e., clearance, volume of distribution, and half-life) may need to be determined to adjust therapy for a desired outcome.

Considering other factors in pharmacokinetic monitoring¹

In addition to the problems with population pharmacokinetic means, unexpected drug concentration measurements can occur for various reasons. Some patients may not be adherent with drug therapy, taking either more or less than was prescribed for them. In the institutional setting, administration errors can account for unexpected results; a patient may be given the wrong dose of a drug, may be given the drug at the wrong time, or may not receive the scheduled drug at all.

Errors on medication administration records also can occur. For example, it might be indicated that a drug was given at a time other than the actual time it was received by the patient. Furthermore, incomplete drug delivery due to patient problems (e.g., infiltration of an IV fluid or clogging of a nasal cannula) can influence drug concentration measurements.

Problems in sample collection can lead to unexpected drug concentration measurements. A blood sample may be drawn at the wrong time, or the time the sample was collected may be reported incorrectly. Samples can be taken from the wrong patient or obtained incorrectly (e.g., through a drug administration line that was inadequately flushed prior to sample withdrawal). In addition, samples may be improperly stored. The drug concentration sampling strategy may also impact the ability to best design a new dosing schedule to target desired concentrations.²

Other things to consider include drug or disease state interactions that may influence the prediction of drug concentration measurements and the use of inaccurate assays.

Some reasons drug concentration measurements may fall outside of the range predicted by population estimates:

- Patient truly does not well fit the population average values (i.e., falls outside of one standard deviation of the mean).



- Population values used for the predictions were determined in patients unlike the patient being monitored.
- Patient has not been adherent with therapy (may have taken either more or less than prescribed).
- Nurse did not give the dose at the time prescribed (whether it has been signed off as given on time or not).
- Dose not given at all (whether it is signed off as given or not). Also doses are occasionally administered but not signed off as being given.
- Wrong dose is given (either once or more often).
- Error made in dosing schedule on medication administration record (e.g., every 18-hr schedule is put on record such that patient is given doses 18 and 30 hr apart).
- Complete dose was not administered prior to sample withdrawal because of patient problems (e.g., infiltration of an intravenous (IV) line, clogging of nasal cannula).
- Phlebotomist drew blood at a time other than requested and:
(1) reported that it was collected on time, or (2) or inaccurately reported, and it is incorrectly assumed to have been drawn at the scheduled time.
- Sample was taken from the wrong patient.
- Sample was obtained incorrectly (e.g., through a drug administration line which has been improperly flushed prior to sample withdrawal).
- Sample was not stored properly, leading to artifactual results.
- Assay or assay instrument quality is not satisfactory, or the reported result is not accurate.
- Pharmacokinetic drug interaction has occurred, which was not accounted for correctly in estimation of DCMs.
- In vitro drug interaction occurred, resulting in artifactual results.
- Disease interaction occurred that was not considered, such as reduced absorption rate due to poor blood flow.
- Patient has reduced plasma binding proteins or a drug–drug interaction has displaced the drug from protein (see Protein binding issues, below).

Protein binding issues

When patients have reduced plasma proteins or highly bound drugs are displaced from plasma proteins by drug or endogenous compound interactions, there may be increased free fraction (unbound concentration/total concentration) and movement of drug out of the bloodstream into tissue. Movement of drug out of the bloodstream can lead to decreases in total (bound and unbound) plasma or serum drug concentrations even when the unbound concentration remains unchanged. Since total concentration is what is usually reported when drug concentration measurements are ordered, this can lead to incorrect assumptions that a dose may need to be increased. Increased unbound fraction can also lead to increased elimination, which will also decrease total concentration. If there is no increase in elimination, the unbound concentration may remain the same as when plasma proteins are normal or no interaction exists, even though the total concentration is decreased. In some cases of highly bound drugs (e.g., phenytoin), an unbound concentration measurement may be warranted if the total concentration is low, to ensure that adequate unbound concentrations exist.

Verifying drug concentration measurements

If measured values fall outside the range estimated using \pm one standard deviation of the predicted clearance, volume of distribution, and/or half-life, concentrations should generally be re-checked before the initially measured concentration(s) are accepted as valid. This step does not preclude changing

the dose or interval if such a change would have been made empirically at the start of therapy. If the measured concentrations are far from those predicted, a determination must be made as to whether the measured drug concentrations are reasonable (i.e., within reasonable expectation based on the range of population values) or whether one or more of the problems noted above occurred.

The occurrence of certain problems can be determined with detective work. For example, a patient can be questioned about compliance, past outpatient pharmacy records can be checked, and the nurse administering the drug or the phlebotomist drawing the blood sample can be interviewed. Unfortunately, the validity of the information gathered after the fact may be questionable.

Because of these potential problems, measured drug concentrations may not be a true reflection of the patient's actual drug distribution and clearance. Therefore, an erroneous decision about the dosing needs of the patient can be made. Accurate information is essential to quality therapeutic drug monitoring.

A well-coordinated system of communication is needed between those administering or taking a medication and those collecting blood (or other body fluid or tissue) for analysis. Such a system can prevent many of the problems associated with assessing the validity of reported drug concentrations and dose/sample collection timing. It also can reduce erroneous decision-making based on faulty data as well as the expense of repeating questionable drug concentration measurements. The lack of such a system is a waste of resources and provides the potential for harming patients secondary to a high incidence of debatable data.

After as many causes of discrepancy as possible are eliminated, a decision must be made as to whether the difference between predicted and actual values is due to patient variability from population averages or to erroneous values. If the values are judged to be erroneous, drug concentrations probably should be re-measured, although the need for further evaluation should be as carefully considered as the original decision to monitor (see Chapter 1, Rational Use of Drug Concentration Measurements).

Determining need for dosage adjustments

Once the drug concentration measurement and dosing information is determined to be as accurate as possible, the need for dosage adjustments are determined based on pharmacodynamic response and patient outcome. The need for dosage adjustment or the continuation of therapy should be based on patient response relative to measured drug concentration rather than on drug concentration alone.

This approach may not be proper, however, when the disease or symptoms are not continuous or easy to quantify. For example, keeping an anticonvulsant drug within the therapeutic range can be important when seizure activity is infrequent. Without an adequate seizure history, the maintenance of a dosing schedule that produces drug concentration measurements above or below the normal accepted therapeutic range may not be prudent.

Deciding on monitoring frequency and sample timing

How frequently a patient should be monitored for efficacy or side effects related to drug therapy varies with the drug, the intensity of the disease, the stability of body functions, and other factors. In general, the more severely compromised the patient, the more frequently the patient should be monitored. This is essentially the same as would be recommended for most laboratory tests and monitoring schemes.

Clinicians should be aware of the many factors that can alter a drug's pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic activities. Addition or deletion of other drug therapy (or diet) that may interact with the drug being monitored should signal the need for closer inspection. Changes in the function of the primary organs of drug elimination (e.g., liver and kidneys) or in cardiac function also should signal the need for closer monitoring.

Patient (or caregiver) adherence to the treatment regimen must be assessed whenever a decision is based on a drug concentration measurement. Simply assuming appropriate adherence to the prescribed regimen can lead to grave errors in the worst case and a waste of resources in others.

Single samples provide useful feedback from the patient as to clearance of the drug, but at least one sample is necessary to calculate each pharmacokinetic parameter. For example, if knowledge of a patient's V and CL or V and k are desired for dosing adjustment, two samples are necessary. The time of sampling can also be optimized to determine the pharmacokinetic behavior of a drug and provide the best future predictions.² Trough samples are not optimum DCM collection times, but they are often used by clinicians when desired concentrations have been based on the trough (e.g., current approaches to vancomycin dosing). Trough concentrations are also least impacted when errors occur in the time a dose is given or sample collected.² Thus, even though more robust pharmacokinetic information may be obtained by other sampling times, approaches such as drawing an aminoglycoside “peak” 30 min after the end of a dosing infusion rather than the true peak and measuring troughs instead of earlier concentrations are often used for therapeutic drug monitoring.

A BASIC PHARMACOKINETIC GLOSSARY

As the science of pharmacokinetic evaluation of drug therapy has progressed, the terminology used has grown as well. Although terms such as *half-life* and *volume of distribution* are standardized in most pharmacokinetic texts, a wide variety of terminology is used to describe other basic concepts.

For this reason, an attempt was made to standardize the terminology used throughout this book. The wide collection of studies used to reference the chapters somewhat hindered this effort.

With that understanding, the following terminology is offered as a guideline to interpreting the values and terms in this book.

Selected pharmacokinetic terminology

Actual body weight (ABW)—Patient's measured body weight; equivalent to total body weight.

Average steady state concentration ($C_{ss_{av}}$)—Concentration measured approximately halfway between the peak and trough (except for some sustained-release preparations) for a drug administered long enough to be at steady state. Hence, for an IV bolus regimen on an every 6-hr interval, the $C_{ss_{av}}$ would be at 3 hr after a dose. For a dose requiring absorption that peaks 2 hr after administration, the average would occur at approximately 4 hr on a 6-hr interval.

Ideal body weight (IBW)—Ideal weight for a patient based on his or her height and sex according to insurance actuarial tables for longevity.

Lean body weight (LBW)—Patient's body weight plus some but not all fat weight. It is often used interchangeably with IBW, but LBW increases as patients increase in weight, while IBW is constant.

Peak concentration (C_{peak})—Peak concentration is the highest or maximum concentration after any type of dosing method. It is the concentration of drug that occurs immediately after an IV bolus dose, at the end of a dose infusion, or at a particular time (t_{peak} or t_{max}) after dose administration for a drug requiring absorption. It may also be called C_{max} or $C_{ss_{max}}$. Occasionally, the “peak” is considered the concentration measured within 30–60 minutes after the true peak time (e.g., 30 min after the end of a dose infusion for aminoglycosides); this peak might be considered a “therapeutic peak” for assessment of patient response rather than the actual peak. This time lag before collection in part acknowledges the reality that doses are not always given precisely on time and that blood samples are not always drawn precisely when scheduled for a true peak.

Steady state—Point in time reached after a drug has been given for approximately five elimination half-lives (97% of steady state has been achieved after five half-lives). At steady state, the rate of drug administration equals the rate of elimination, and drug concentration-time curves found after each dose on an even schedule (e.g., every 8 hr) should be approximately superimposable (i.e., if one graph of a drug concentration-time curve were laid on the next dose graph, they would be the same).

Administration of a loading dose can affect the time to steady state if the loading and maintenance doses are matched correctly. If the loading dose provides exactly the amount needed to achieve the steady state concentration that will be achieved by the maintenance dose, then steady state is achieved immediately. If the loading dose is too small or too large relative to attainment of the concentrations that will occur with maintenance doses, five half-lives will be required to achieve 97% of the *difference* between the loading dose concentrations and the final steady state concentrations.

Therapeutic range—Range of concentrations where optimum outcome is expected, based on results of groups of individuals taking the drug. In reality, each person has his or her own therapeutic range for each drug. As concentrations rise to the upper limit of the therapeutic range and beyond, the probability of drug toxicity increases. As concentrations fall to or below the lower limit of the range, the probability of inadequate response increases. This range should be viewed only as an initial target, because patients may respond when below it and may not be toxic when above it. Furthermore, minor toxicity above a therapeutic range might be acceptable to a patient if efficacy increases. Serious toxicity is a definite upper limit to any individual's therapeutic range.

Trough concentration (C_{trough})—Lowest or minimum concentration after a dose given intermittently (also called C_{min} or C_{min}). It is the concentration that occurs immediately before the next dose for drugs given intermittently in a multiple-dose fashion. However, quite often the “trough” is the concentration measured within 30–60 minutes of the next dose. This trough might be considered a “therapeutic trough” related to this time in pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies of the drug. This time period in advance of the true trough also acknowledges variance in compliance with precise dose administration time and phlebotomist arrival time.

Selected pharmacokinetic symbols used in this text

These symbols generally follow the nomenclature suggested by the Committee for Pharmacokinetic Nomenclature of the American College of Clinical Pharmacology.³ An exception is volume of distribution (V versus V_z).

- C** = concentration of drug (plasma, serum, blood, urine, saliva, etc.).
- C_i** = initial concentration. The larger of two measured concentrations in an elimination portion of a concentration-time curve. For example, in Equation 1 (see “General Pharmacokinetic Equations,” below), C_i is the largest of two concentrations, C_i and C . Some authors designate the two concentrations as C_1 and C_2 .
- C_{max}** = maximum drug concentration after a dose (also C_{peak}).
- C_{min}** = minimum drug concentration after a dose (also C_{trough}).
- C_{ss}** = concentration at steady state.
- $C_{\text{ss}_{\text{max}}}$** = maximum drug concentration after a dose at steady state (also $C_{\text{ss}_{\text{peak}}}$).
- $C_{\text{ss}_{\text{min}}}$** = minimum drug concentration after a dose at steady state (also $C_{\text{ss}_{\text{trough}}}$).
- $C_{\text{ss}_{\text{avg}}}$** = average steady state concentration. The concentration approximately halfway between $C_{\text{ss}_{\text{max}}}$ and $C_{\text{ss}_{\text{min}}}$ at steady state.
- CL** = apparent total body clearance (either in units of volume per time, such as liters per hour, or in units of volume per time per body weight, such as liters per hour per kilogram). $CL = k \times V$.
- $CrCl$** = creatinine clearance; the clearance of creatinine (in units of milliliters per minute or liters per hour).
- D** = dose (in amount, such as milligrams, or amount per patient body weight, such as milligrams per kilogram).
- F** = bioavailability fraction of a dose (no units). It is the fraction or percent of an administered dose that reaches the systemic circulation.

- k = first-order elimination rate constant (in units of 1/time or time^{-1}). $k = 0.693/t_{1/2}$.
- k_a = first-order absorption rate constant (in units of 1/time or time^{-1}). $k_a = 0.693/t_{1/2a}$.
- K_m = Michaelis-Menten constant (in units of concentration such as milligrams per liter). It is the concentration at which the metabolic system is one-half saturated.
- R_0 = zero-order infusion rate (in amount per time such as milligrams per hour).
- S = fraction of a dose that is parent drug (i.e., the drug that is measured in plasma or serum). For example, phenytoin sodium is 92% phenytoin. Thus, $S = 0.92$ for phenytoin sodium products. No units.
- S_{Cr} = serum creatinine (in mg/dL or $\mu\text{mol/L}$).
- t = elapsed time. For example, it is the time between two concentrations, known or estimated, in the elimination phase of a drug following first-order elimination.
- t' = time of an infusion (i.e., duration of infusion, usually in hours).
- t_{peak} = time to peak (maximum concentration) of a drug that requires absorption (e.g., oral, intramuscular, inhaled, rectal, or buccal). Also called t_{max} .
- $t_{1/2}$ = half-life of a drug (in units of time). It is the time needed to reduce the drug concentration or amount of drug in the body by one-half. $t_{1/2} = 0.693/k$.
- $t_{1/2a}$ = absorption half-life of a drug product administered in a dosage form requiring absorption (in units of time). $t_{1/2a} = 0.693/k_a$.
- T = time elapsed after the *end* of an infusion.
- Δt = change in time (usually the time between two measured concentrations).
- τ = dosage interval (in units of time, usually hours or days).
- V = apparent volume of distribution (either in units of volume, such as liters, or in units of volume per body weight, such as liters per kilogram).
- V_{max} = (V_m) maximum velocity of drug elimination for a drug following Michaelis-Menten (enzyme saturable) elimination. It is the amount of drug that can be biotransformed per unit of time (in units of amount per time, such as milligrams per day or in mg/kg/day).

GENERAL ESTIMATING EQUATIONS

Like the above terms and symbols, several equations are frequently used in pharmacokinetic calculations and are considered to be standards. Frequently used equations for calculating ideal or lean body weight and body surface area are as follows. Additional information is provided in Chapter 4, Medication Dosing in Overweight and Obese Patients. Creatinine clearance estimations are provided in Chapter 2, Estimating Creatinine Clearance.

Calculating ideal body weight (IBW) in adults⁴

males = $50 \text{ kg} + [(2.3)(\text{Ht} - 60)] \text{ kg}$

females = $45.5 \text{ kg} + [(2.3)(\text{Ht} - 60)] \text{ kg}$

where Ht is a patient's height in inches.

Or,

males = $50 \text{ kg} + [(0.9)(\text{Ht} - 152)] \text{ kg}$

females = $45.5 \text{ kg} + [(0.9)(\text{Ht} - 152)] \text{ kg}$

where Ht is a patient's height in centimeters.

Note: For patients who are less than 60 inches tall (152 cm), the weight should be decreased more conservatively than 2.3 kg/inch (2.3 kg/2.54 cm).

Calculating ideal body weight in children aged 1–18 years⁵

For children **less than 5 feet (152 cm)** tall

$$IBW = 2.05e^{(0.02)(Ht)}$$

where Ht is height in centimeters (2.54 cm/inch) and IBW is ideal weight in kg.

For children **5 feet (152 cm) or taller**

$$IBW \text{ (males)} = 39 + [2.27 (Ht - 60)]$$

$$IBW \text{ (females)} = 42.2 + [2.27 (Ht - 60)]$$

where Ht is height in inches and IBW is ideal weight in kg.

Or,

$$IBW \text{ (males)} = 39 + [0.9 (Ht - 152)]$$

$$IBW \text{ (females)} = 42.2 + [0.9 (Ht - 152)]$$

where Ht is height in centimeters and IBW is ideal weight in kg.

Calculating surface area (SA) in meters² (m²)

For adults, children, and infants⁶

$$SA = W^{0.5378} \times Ht^{0.3964} \times 0.024265$$

where W is weight in kg and Ht is height in centimeters.

Another simpler approach to determining BSA uses the following formula⁷:

$$BSA = \sqrt{\frac{\text{height} \times \text{weight}}{3600}}$$

where height is in cm and weight is in kg.

Calculating Body Mass Index (BMI) for men and women^{8,9,a}

METRIC

$$BMI = \text{weight (kg)} / [\text{height (m)}]^2 \text{ or } [\text{weight (kg)} / \text{height (m)}] / \text{height (m)}$$

POUNDS AND INCHES

$$BMI = \text{weight (lb)} / [\text{height (in)}]^2 \times 703 \text{ or } [\text{weight (lb)} / \text{height (in)}] / \text{height (in)} \times 703$$

^a BMI is an indicator of body fat and body fat content is related to the risk of disease and death. People are considered underweight if their BMI is <18.5, normal weight if 18.5 to 24.9, overweight if 25 to 29.9, and obese if ≥ 30. There are limits to the use of BMI, including the potential to overestimate body fat in athletes and others who have a muscular build and to underestimate body fat in the elderly and in those who have lost muscle mass.

GENERAL PHARMACOKINETIC EQUATIONS

The following equations are used to determine or estimate concentration and other pharmacokinetic parameters. These equations may be manipulated to determine other parameters in the equation when the remaining parameters are known or can be estimated. For example, a dose may be calculated from known or estimated CL, V, or k values by manipulating the applicable equations to solve for dose (D).

1. Concentration at any time t after some initial concentration (C_i):

$$C = C_i \times e^{-kt}$$

2. k from two known drug concentration-time points in the elimination phase:

$$k = \frac{\ln(C_i/C)}{\Delta t}$$

3. Concentration at any time t after a single IV bolus dose:

$$C = \left(\frac{S \times D}{V} \right) e^{-kt}$$

4. Concentration at any time t after an IV bolus dose given every τ hours (at steady state):

$$C = \left(\frac{S \times D}{V} \right) \left(\frac{e^{-kt}}{1 - e^{-k\tau}} \right)$$

5. Concentration at any time t after the start of an IV infusion at rate R_0 :

$$C = \left(\frac{S \times R_0}{CL} \right) (1 - e^{-kt})$$

6. Concentration at steady state of an IV infusion at rate R_0 :

$$C_{SS} = \left(\frac{S \times R_0}{CL} \right)$$

7. Average steady state concentration of a dose given intermittently (by all dosing methods):

$$C_{SS_{avg}} = \left(\frac{S \times F \times D}{CL \times \tau} \right)$$

or

$$C_{SS_{avg}} = \left(\frac{S \times F \times D}{k \times V \times \tau} \right)$$

8. Concentration at any time t after a single dose requiring absorption:

$$C = \left(\frac{S \times F \times D \times k_a}{V \times (k_a - k)} \right) (e^{-kt} - e^{-k_a t})$$

9. Time to peak (maximum concentration) of a dose requiring absorption after a single dose:

$$t_{peak} = \frac{\ln(k_a/k)}{(k_a - k)}$$

10. Concentration at any time t after a dose requiring absorption during steady state conditions:

$$C = \left(\frac{S \times F \times D \times k_a}{V \times (k_a - k)} \right) \left(\frac{(e^{-kt})}{(1 - e^{-k\tau})} - \frac{(e^{-k_a t})}{(1 - e^{-k_a \tau})} \right)$$

11. Time to peak (maximum concentration) of a dose requiring absorption at steady state:

$$t_{SS_{peak}} = \frac{\ln \left(\left[\frac{k_a (1 - e^{-k\tau})}{k (1 - e^{-k_a \tau})} \right] \right)}{(k_a - k)}$$

12. Concentration at any time T after the end of a single short infusion lasting t' time:

$$C = \left(\frac{S \times D}{k \times V \times t'} \right) (1 - e^{-kt'}) e^{-kT}$$

13. Concentration at any time T after the end of a short infusion lasting t' time given every τ hours:

$$C = \left(\frac{S \times D}{k \times V \times t'} \right) \left(\frac{(1 - e^{-kt'})}{(1 - e^{-k\tau})} \right) (e^{-kT})$$

14. Average steady state concentration of a drug that follows Michaelis-Menten elimination:

$$C_{SS_{avg}} = \left(\frac{\left(\frac{S \times F \times D}{\tau} \right) \times k_m}{V_m - \left(\frac{S \times F \times D}{\tau} \right)} \right)$$

15. Dose to produce desired steady state concentration for a drug that follows Michaelis-Menten elimination:

$$D = \frac{C_{SS_{avg}} \times V_m \times \tau}{S \times F \times (C_{SS_{avg}} + k_m)}$$

REFERENCES

1. Murphy JE, Job ML, Ward ES. Rectifying incorrect dosage schedules. *Am J Hosp Pharm.* 1990;47:2235-6.
2. Jelliffe R. Optimal methodology is important for optimal pharmacokinetic studies, therapeutic drug monitoring, and patient care. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 2015;54:887-92.
3. Committee for Pharmacokinetic Nomenclature. Manual of symbols, equations, & definitions in pharmacokinetics. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 1982;22:1S-23S.
4. Devine BJ. Gentamicin therapy. *Drug Intell Clin Pharm.* 1974;7:650-5.
5. Traub SL, Johnson CE. Comparison of methods of estimating creatinine clearance in children. *Am J Hosp Pharm.* 1980;37:195-201.
6. Haycock GB, Schwartz GJ, Wisotsky DH. Geometric method for measuring body surface area: a height-weight formula validated in infants, children, and adults. *J Pediatr.* 1978;93:62-6.
7. Mosteller RD. Simplified calculation of body surface area. *N Engl J Med.* 1987;317:1098.
8. Anon. Calculate your body mass index. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute: Obesity Education Initiative. Available at: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/educational/lose_wt/BMI/bmicalc.htm. Accessed September 26, 2015.
9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. About adult BMI. https://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/adult_bmi. Accessed February 27, 2017.

